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ABSTRACT

Some non-trilobite faunal elements from Marianian strata of eastern Germany ("Görlitz Fauna" Lusatia) are presented from this region for the first time.

One of these is the Burgess type fossil Halkieria Poulsen, 1967. The extremely low frequency of halkieriids in the Görlitz fauna and, in contrast, the very large amount of chancelloriids in the same found horizon point to different habitats for these two coeloscleritophoran groups and support the assumption of different modes of life of these fossils.

Furthermore, a new report is made on six enigmatic and poorly preserved globular individuals assigned to Aethollicopallia adnata Conway Morris, 1990b. Nearly all of these globules show adaptations to a sessile benthic and/or an encrusting mode of life.

Based on a portrayal of the present knowledge on higher Lower Cambrian small shelly faunas from central and southern Europe (Germany, Czechia, Poland, France, Spain, Italy) the utility of these fossil groups for biostratigraphy, palaeoecology and related topics are discussed. A prospect of the further tasks in the higher Lower Cambrian small shelly palaeontology of the western Mediterranean and especially the importance and the capability of related investigations in different regions of the Gondwanan European shelf is given.
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RESUMEN

Se da cuenta por primera vez de la presencia de elementos fósiles no trilobíticos en el Marianiano de Alemania oriental (Lusacia).

Uno de estos elementos es Halkieria Poulsen, 1967, constituyente de la biota de la Burgess Shale, que se encuentra aquí en la denominada "Fauna de Görlitz" por primera vez. La frecuencia extremadamente baja de halkieriidos en la "Fauna de Görlitz" contrasta con la gran cantidad de cancelloriidos que en ella se encuentra, lo que apunta a que estos dos grupos de coeloscleritóforos preferían hábitats diferentes y tenían modos de vida distintos.

Además, se describen seis ejemplares mal conservados de fósiles globulares enigmáticos, atribuidos a Aethollicopallia adnata Conway Morris, 1990b. Casi todos ellos muestran adaptaciones a un modo de vida bentónico sésil y/o inercustante.

Tras la descripción del estado actual de conocimientos sobre faunas conchiferas del Cámbrico Inferior alto en Europa central y meridional (Alemania, República Checa, Polonia, Francia, España, Italia), se discute la utilidad de estos grupos fósiles en bioestratigrafía y paleoecología. Finalmente, se da una visión de las líneas futuras de investigación sobre la paleontología de estos grupos en el Cámbrico Inferior alto de la región mediterránea occidental, especialmente la importancia de estudios análogos en otras regiones de la plataforma europea gondwánica.

Palabras clave: Cámbrico Inferior, Marianiano, pequeños fósiles con concha, halkieriidos, Gondwana, región mediterránea, Görlitz, Alemania.
INTRODUCTION

Post-earliest Cambrian shelly fossils are generally known from all fragments of the European part of the Gondwanan shelf (for palaeogeography see Courjault-Radé et al., 1992). However, investigations were hitherto mainly focused on trilobites and archaeocyaths because of the better knowledge and the biostratigraphic value of these fossil groups. Other hard parts-bearing fossils (pelecypods, gastropods, monoplacophorans, ostracods, hyoliths, coeloscleritophorans, cambrocles, eocrinoids, problematica) were investigated only sporadically. Reasons for this were: 1) the inability to prepare the samples, especially the calcareous remains from the fossil bearing lithotypes which are mostly limestones and dolostones; 2) the lack of possibilities for investigating these “not preparable” fossils by thin sections; and 3) the main applied Palaeontological interest, which lays clearly on the fields of biostratigraphy and correlation, and so the preferred fossil groups were the trilobites and the archaeocyaths.

On the other hand the knowledge of the post-basal Cambrian so called “small shelly fossils” (or “early shelly fossils”) shows a distinct increase worldwide during the last years (the term “small shelly fossils” was originally introduced by Matthews and Missarzhevski (1975) for phosphatic microfossils of Early Cambrian strata but later it has been often used also for secondary phosphatized and calcareous remains of early Palaeozoic age). So many findings were notified and investigated from Siberia, North America, Australia, Asia and other places (for examples and further references: Missarzhevski, 1989; Bengtson et al., 1990; Kruse, 1990; Qian and Bengtson, 1989; Cowie and Brasier, 1989; Landing et al., 1989). In central and southern Europe such faunas were reported from France (Montagne Noire), Italy (Sardinia), Spain, Czechia, Poland and Germany (see below).

The aim of this paper is to present the first Burgess type fossil and some other taxa from the German Lower Cambrian “Görlitz Fauna” (Marianian in age) and to look out for the utility of these fossils and for the future tasks of the work on this very interesting but still poorly known group in the European regions cited above.

LOWER CAMBRIAN EARLY SHELLY FOSSILS IN CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN EUROPE

Trilobite remains are known from the Lower Cambrian of Germany for a long time (Richter and Richter, 1923). On the other hand, hard parts of non-trilobite fossils of this age were discovered many years later and they were never object of detailed investigations up to the end of the eighties.

A historical overview as well as many new results of investigations on shelly fossils from the German Lower Cambrian rocks were published by Eicki and Schneider (1992) and Eicki (1994, 1996).

Whereas the older Doberlug biotas (Lower Cambrian subsurface deposits near Leipzig; Fig. 1) are clearly dominated by cyanobacteria and archaeocyaths (Eicki and Debréné, 1993; Eicki, unpublished data), the carbonate sequence of Görlitz (near the border to Poland; Fig. 1) contains biotas characterized by the dominance of shelly fossils. More than 90% of the whole fossil content is represented by hyoliths. Trilobites, brachiopods, mollusks, and problematica (as Rhombocorallinata, Coleoloides, Microcoryne, cambrocles and others) are only the minor fossil content. The number of the very frequent but always isolated echnoderm, poriferan, and chancelloriid remains is remarkable. (Because of the disarticulation the total number of these fossil organisms can not be given. However, the role of these biotas within the Görlitz fauna have been considerable.) The character of the fauna varies through the section. So, from the overlaying siliciclastics only trilobites, brachiopods and hyoliths are known (Geyer and Eicki, 1995).

Shelly fossil assemblages are also known from the Czechian region (Bohemia). The classic area is the Barrandean in the southern region of Prague with its famous Middle Cambrian deposits. Trilobite dominated faunas are very well known from here. But, for example, hyoliths, brachiopods and echinoderms do also occur.

From the mainly conglomeratic and coarse grained Lower Cambrian strata only some shelly fossils within a thin shaly intercalation (Paseky Shale; review and further references by Støch et al., 1993) are preserved. The shelly fossil content consists exclusively of non-trilobite arthropods of a non-marine (brackish?) environment (Chlupáč, 1995). The dominating taxon Kodyminus vagans Chlupáč and Havlíček, 1965 (a chelicerate
arthropod) is known for more than 30 years. Two further taxa were firstly described only some years ago: the merostome Kockurus grandis Chlupáč, 1995, and the phyllocarid Vladicaris subtulis Chlupáč, 1995. The stratigraphic age of these fossiliferous layers can be assumed by their lithostratigraphic position between the Protorezoic strata (situated below the basal Cambrian conglomerates and affected by the Cadomian orogeny) and the early Middle Cambrian marine faunas (see above). New investigations on cyanobacteria, algae, acritarchs, and other non-skeletal remains support this assumption (Fata and Konzalova, 1995).

A noticeable Cambrian fauna is also known from Poland. So, Gondwanan-related higher Lower Cambrian non-trilobite hard parts remains were found in the Holy Cross Mountains as well as in the Kaczawa Mountains. In the Czarna Shale and in the Ociezski Sandstone of the Holy Cross Mountains hyoliths, merostomes, pelagiellids and problematica (as Sabellidites, Colecloides, Platyolenites and others) occur (for units and references see Orlowski, 1975, 1985; Lendzion et al., 1982; Kowalski, 1983; Orlowski and Waksmundzki, 1986).

The Lower Cambrian age of sequences of the Kaczawa Mountains is mainly based on lithostratigraphic arguments. The large lithological similarities between carbonates of the Kaczawa Mountains and the fossiliferous Lower Cambrian strata of the Górlitz region (easternmost Germany, see above) are remarkable. However, there are also some lithological differences between these regions (terrigenous influx in the Górlitz region and large volcanism in the Polish sections) so that these lithostratigraphic arguments are problematic by the opinion of the author. The fossil content found so far is represented only by phyllocarids (Silesicaris nasuta Gürich, 1929) and problematica. Lorenz (personal communication and own observations by the author) has demonstrated the presence of poorly preserved trilobite remains from thin sections of the carbonates.

Géze (1956) has given an overview of the Cambrian of the Montagne Noire (France) including the fossil content (e.g. non-trilobite arthropods, hyoliths, Torellites). From the southern part of the Montagne Noire, Courjault-Radé (1988), in a sedimentological focused paper, has reported chancelloriids and echiomorphs.

Kerber (1988) has published on Lower Cambrian microfossils from the end members of a carbonate depositional sequence (phosphatic “Heraulitia limestones”) of the northern Montagne Noire. In his extensive account he has described 34 species of hard part-bearing fossils from 28 non-trilobite genera. So, up to day, this publication represents the most important compilation for such Lower Cambrian shell microfossils from France. The fauna diversity reaches from hyoliths (circlothècides and globoritids) to crustaceans (branchiopods), annelids? (Cambrotubulus), coeloscleritophorans (halkieriids), mollusks (gastropods), brachiopods, and systemically problematic taxae as Archaeooides, Paracarinachites, Cambrosuctum, Acilopterus and a new introduced group of “Torisimorphidae” (containing Rozanoviella, Maikhanelia, and others). The age of the fossil bearing horizons is comparable to the uppermost Attabanian-Botoman.

Similar fossils [coeloscleritophorans, hyoliths, gastropods (Aladella) and non-trilobitic arthropods] are also known from Normandy, northern France [see Doré (1969), Doré and Reid (1965), and Pillola (1993) for further references].

Cambrian deposits are also widespread in southwestern Sardinia (Italy; for references see the standard monograph edited by Bechstäd and Boni, 1994). Palaeontological investigations, however, were hitherto carried out mainly on trilobites and archaeocyaths. Other fossil groups were mostly mentioned nearby (for example, Bornemann, 1886, 1891; Pillola, 1991). Only sporadically some workers have engaged in hard parts of these other fossil groups. So Cherchi and Schroeder (1984) have described some foraminifera, poriferans, chancelloriids and problematica [as Hadimopanella (=Lenargyrium)] from a Lower/Middle Cambrian boundary interval (Giglias Group, type section of the Campo Pisano Formation near Giglias). Maybe the stratigraphic position of the bearing horizons is always lower Middle Cambrian but, nevertheless, the palaeoecological position as well as the sedimentary tendency of this interval can be well compared with higher Lower Cambrian successions elsewhere in central and southern Europe. Mostler (1985) has reported further findings from the nodular limestones of the same formation and from Lower Cambrian archaeocyathan limestones. From insoluble remains of the older of these layers, mainly sponge spicules were obtained but also brachiopods, bad preserved “ostroade shells” and hyolithiforms. Samples of the Campo Pisano Formation have shown echinoderm plates (echinoids, rare carpoids, and probably ophiurids), paraconodonts, and problematica (Lenargyrium, Oliaides, Zeugites and unnamed “others”). Further, different authors have mentioned chancelloriids, echinoderm and porifera remains as components in thin sections of the Lower Cambrian material. At present the shelly fauna of the carbonates of the Campo Pisano Formation are under palaeontological research by the author.

From the southwestern Mediterranean (Iberian Peninsula), Lower Cambrian trilobites and archaeocyathan faunas are known and well investigated since a long time, but publications on the other shelly fossil content are hitherto rather rare (e.g. chancelloriids by Sdzuy, 1969). In their Lower to Middle Cambrian stage revision for the Iberian Peninsula, Liñán et al. (1993) have mentioned chancelloriids, pelagiellids, brachiopods, and others from the Spanish Lower Cambrian (see also Liñán and Quesada, 1990, for references of the older literature). Newer findings of chancelloriids and sponge spicules from the Lower-Middle Cambrian boundary interval in northeastern Spain (Iberian Chains) were published by Álvarez and Vennin (1996), and findings of chancelloriids, Hyolithellus and Torellites from southern Spain (Sierra de Córdoba) by Fernández-Remolar (1996). At present, Spanish Lower Cambrian shelly faunas are under investigation by the last author.
STRATIGRAPHY

All specimens described below were found in one layer. This horizon is situated above massive intertidal to shallow subtidal dolostones (Lower Ludwigsdorf Member), within a shallow subtidal sequence of limestones (Upper Ludwigsdorf Member) overlain by fine-grained siliciclastics (Lusatiospis Member, see Elicki, 1994, 1997; Fig. 2). The fossils occur in a light-yellow to red and slightly sandy bioclastic floatstone which also contains some phosphatic pebbles (Pl. I, figs. 1,2). Within this layer small channels, ripple marks and cut-and-fill structures are observed. Based on sedimentological criteria, the general depositional tendency of the whole Lower Cambrian Görlitz section (Ludwigsdorf Member and Lusatiospis Member) is transgressive. However, within the carbonate portion (Ludwigsdorf Member) some short-time shallowing trends of a minor order can be observed (Elicki and Schneider, 1992).

Within the Görlitz Lower Cambrian strata only shelly fossils and cyanobacteria occur (Elicki 1994, 1996). Archaeocyaths are hitherto unknown from this area (but some very rare, small and disturbed grid-like remains — maybe skeletal parts of these aspicular sponges — were observed). The character and the succession of faunal assemblages occurring in the carbonate sequence is described by Elicki (1994). It is remarkable that both the halkieriids remains and the globular fossils were found in the lower part of this succession yielding *Rhombocorniculum cancellatum* (Cobbold, 1921). The remains occur together with hyoliths, poriferans, echinoderms, trilobites and pelecypods (these five groups represent 96% of all fossils). Furthermore, they are also accompanied in minority by gastropods, monoplacophorans, brachiopods, conchostracans and other problematica (as *Microcoryne cephalata* Bengston, 1990, and *Coteoloides typicus* Walcott, 1890; see Elicki, 1994).

Following the biostratigraphic position of the trilobite fauna [*Calodiscus cf. lobatus* (Hall, 1847), *Lusatiospis sp.*, *Ferralsia saxonica* Geyer and Elicki, 1995] and of the shelly fossil assemblages, the age of the fossiliferous carbonate sequence (Upper Ludwigsdorf Member) is Marianian (comparable to the uppermost Atabdanian of the Siberian subdivision; compare Elicki, 1994, 1997, and Geyer and Elicki, 1995). The age of the overlying siliciclastics [*Lusatiospis Member with Serrodiscus silesius* Richter and Richter, 1941, aff. *Calodiscus lobatus* (Hall, 1847), “Holmia” zimmermanni (Schwarzbach, 1939), *Lusatiospis tusaticus* (Schwarzbach, 1934) and “Acanthomomaacea” schwarzbachi (Richter and Richter, 1941)] is only little younger: higher Marianian (comparable to the Siberian Botoman Stage; Liňan and Gámez-Vintaned, 1993).

SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY

All the palaeontological material is housed in the Geological Institute of the Freiberg University, Germany (archives no. 410).

HALKIERIIDS

Halkieriids represent a group of the Cambrian polyphyletic coelosclerithophorans (*sensu* Bengston and Missarzhevski, 1981) indicated by a special type of small sclerites showing variable geometries and surface structures (see definition below). The family Halkieriidae as well as the genus *Halkeria* were established by Poulsen (1967) on specimens from the Bornholm Lower Cambrian strata.

Despite of the long time since this first description of such fossil remains, the knowledge on their stratigraphic utility and systematics is rather poor. In this sense Conway Morris (1990a) has discussed these problems as caused by taxonomy on incomplete and/or poorly
preserved specimens. Reconstructions of the halkieriid animal are mainly based on articulated material of *Wiwaxia corrugata* Matthew, 1899, found in the Burgess Shale (British Columbia, Canada). However, spectacular new findings of well-preserved articulated specimens from the Lower Cambrian Buen Formation of northern Greenland led to a larger clearness but also to surprising insights on the anatomy of these fossils (Conway Morris and Peel, 1990; Conway Morris, 1995). For these complete preserved halkieriid animals a mean length of about 50 mm is given (Conway Morris and Peel, 1990). Their slug-like bodies were covered by different types of imbricating sclerites. On the dorsal side palmate (scale-shaped blade, slit-like foramen), on the lateral side cultrate (knife-shaped blade, foramen rounded, triangular or rhombic) and on the ventrolateral side spiniform (rounded triangular to lentiform blade, foramen small and round) elements have been observed (Bengtson and Conway Morris, 1984). The different shapes and numbers of the blade types, as well as the comparison with the Burgess Shale taxon *Wiwaxia corrugata* Matthew, 1899, led to the interpretation that these sclerites had mainly a protective function (Bengtson and Conway Morris, 1984; Conway Morris, 1990a). Jell (1981) has argued that these skeletal elements: he has assumed that protection was only a minor function of the sclerites, but vital functions as facilitate the respiration or absorption and digestion can be also expected. The systematic position of the halkieriids is quiet dark. Maybe they should be grouped together with annelids, brachiopods or mollusks (Jell, 1981; Conway Morris and Chapman, 1997).

**PHYLUM UNKNOWN**

?CLASS COELOSCLERITOPHORA Bengtson and Missarzhevski, 1981

**ORDER UNKNOWN**

Family **Halkieriidae** Poulsen, 1967

**Type genus:** *Halkeria* Poulsen, 1967.

**Diagnosis:** Bilaterally symmetrical metazoans with a slug-like body, mantled by imbricating asymmetrical scale-shaped sclerites (calcareous or phosphatic blades). The sclerites have a central cavity which forms on the base a ventral foramen of different shape. The blades surfaces are usually ornamented by longitudinal ribs and transverse striations.

Genus **Halkeria** Poulsen, 1967

**Type species:** *Halkeria obliqua* Poulsen, 1967.

**Diagnosis:** Mainly cultrate and palmate (only in some cases spiniform) sclerites; central canal (cavity) present;

**Figure 3.** *Halkeria* sp. from the Görlitz fauna. Scale bar=0.2 mm. a. ventral view. b. dorsal view. c. lateral view.
upper surface ribbed and with ornamentation; lateral camerate structures.

Stratigraphic range and occurrence: Lower Cambrian of Denmark, England, France, Germany, Kazakhstan, Pakistan, Siberia, Mongolia, China, Australia, SE Newfoundland, U.S.A. and Antarctica.

_Halkeria sp._

Fig. 3: Pl. I, figs. 3-5

Material: Only one incomplete specimen from a bioclastic floatstone deposited within a shallow subtidal environment (compare Elicki, 1994, 1996).

Stratigraphic range and occurrence: Upper Ludwigsdorf Member, Marianian age (?uppermost Attabanian/Botoman) based on trilobites (Geyer and Elicki, 1995) and early shelly fossils (Elicki, 1994).

Description

The specimen is represented by a broken sclerite remain. The asymmetrical cultrate blade has an assumed length of approximately 1.0 mm (length of the broken remain=0.6 mm) and a width of 0.4 mm. The estimated length:width ratio of the complete specimen is at least 2.5. Its geometrical shape is knife-like, flat and strongly curved. The base of the sclerite is prominent. Curvature of the blade towards the ventral side is clearly remarkable. The central canal is only visible by the foramen. This terminal foramen has a half-round to subtriangular geometry and is placed in the middle of the base. Surface sculpture is pronounced by only one (hard to) visible and weakly developed longitudinal rib on the dorsal side. On the ventral side of the blade a striation nearly in right angles to the blade axis is visible (Pl. I, fig. 5).

Discussion

The halkieriid remain discussed herein has a too bad preservation for the identification at the taxonomic species level. However, the observation of this first halkieriid in the central European Lower Cambrian is important for the biofacies diversity and for comparison of the Görlitz fauna with other Lower Cambrian shelly communities of the Gondwana shelf (see below).

From all the known halkierids, the Görlitz halkieriid resembles mostly the specimens described by Kerber (1988) as “Halkeria sp. 2” from the Montagne Noire (France). The asymmetrical shape, the low axial torsion of the blade which is restricted to the proximal end, and the simple ornamentation of the dorsal side (only one median ridge) as well as the ventral transverse striations are observed on specimens both from southern France and from Germany. Moreover, Kerber could not identify any lateral chambers, as it happens with the German material.

Roughly similarities due also exist to the halkieriid _Halkeria stenobasis_ (Jiang in Luo et al., 1982) described from South China by Qian and Bengtson (1989). So the characteristics in the symmetry, in the dimensions, in the general geometry and angles are remarkably coincident. In contrast to the Chinese material, however, the German specimen has no several longitudinal ribs on the dorsal side.

Nevertheless, all these differences do not permit to introduce a new taxon because of the limited number and the poor preservation of the material. New findings from the Görlitz fauna and more basic work on all halkierids are necessary to demystify the systematics of this very interesting group.

GLOBULAR FOSSILS

Lower Cambrian globular fossils are widely distributed [China (Qian, 1977), France (Kerber, 1988), Mongolia (Val’kov, 1987), Siberia and Kazakhstan (Rozanov, 1982; and Missarzhevskii, 1989), Australia (Bengtson et al., 1990), Germany (Elicki and Schneider, 1992)] and they do often represent so-called “problematica”. Reasons for their functional, paleoecological and systematic obscurity are the (maybe original) low amount of fossilizable structures (hard parts), the large discrepancies in preservation of the specimens, and at least the often only inexact descriptions which led to many different “species”. So many of these Lower Cambrian “species” seem to represent synonyms (for a discussion of synonymy see Qian and Bengtson, 1989, and Conway Morris, 1990b).

Plate I

1 Thin section of the fossiliferous limestone yielding the fossils discussed in the text. Note the chancellorid (*Archiaisterella*) upper right. Upper Ludwigsdorf Member, G örlitz Syncline. Scale bar=2 mm.

2 Another thin section of the lithotype also pictured in (1). Note the high and unbroken fossil content (mainly hyoliths and trilobites). Upper Ludwigsdorf Member, Görlitz Syncline. Scale bar=5 mm. (After Elicki and Schneider, 1992.)

3-5 _Halkeria sp._ Ventral view. Note the transversal striations on the ventral side of the blade in (5). Upper Ludwigsdorf Member, Görlitz Syncline. Scale bar=0.1 mm.

6-9 _Aetholithicella adnata_ Conway Morris, 1990b. Upper Ludwigsdorf Member, G örlitz Syncline. 6. Specimen "a". Note the double wall structure, the encrusting habit, the tubules and the reticulate ornamentation on the surface of the inner wall. Scale bar=0.2 mm. 7. Detail of (6). Scale bar=0.05 mm. 8 Specimen "b". Note the encrusting habit and the longitudinal sections of the veriform tubes running irregular into the interior of the globule. Scale bar=0.2 mm. 9. Detail of (8). Scale bar=0.1 mm.
A lot of these globular fossils, however, show a distinct surface structure. This structure consists mostly of a remarkable porosity. So Archaeooides Qian, 1977, Goparrella Missarzhevski, 1981, Aethelicopalla Conway Morris, 1990b, Blastulaspongia Pickett and Jell, 1983, diverse "problematica" (for instance in Val’kov, 1987: pl. 14, fig. 15) and others (see the fossil list given by Conway Morris, 1990b) show this porosity. Following the critical analysis of synonymy mentioned above, among these more than ten taxa only Archaeooides Qian, 1977, Aethelicopalla Conway Morris, 1990b and Blastulaspongia Pickett and Jell, 1983 seem to represent real different taxa. The main difference between Blastulaspongia and the other two globular fossils consists in the wall material which is siliceous in the last mentioned genus but most possible calcareous (sometimes secondary phosphatized) in the others. The distinction between Archaeooides and Aethelicopalla is sometimes difficult if the preservation of the specimens is not excellent. Archaeooides has a more or less spherical shape, it is hollow, porous and at times it shows tubules on the surface. In contrast, Aethelicopalla is double walled and has a central cavity which is filled by veriform tubes. Furthermore, the inner wall and the space between the two walls are characterized by distinct features (compare Conway Morris, 1990b).

However, the same author points out problematic similarities of these two fossils, in the case that the outer wall of Aethelicopalla is not preserved and so the exterior surface of the inner wall can looks like Archaeooides. Unfortunately, no minute ornamentation features are described from Archaeooides and it is not sure if they are present or not on the specimens hitherto known. An important difference seems to lay in the mode of life: so, because of the general shape and of many attached components and substrates, for Aethelicopalla an encrusting lifestyle is assumed (Conway Morris, 1990b).

The stratigraphic occurrence of Archaeooides, Aethelicopalla, and Blastulaspongia is Lower Cambrian, maybe focused on the Tommotian to Botoman interval.

For a discussion of the systematic position of these globular fossils see Conway Morris and Chen, 1990; Bengston et al., 1990, and cited literature.

Six specimens of globular fossils with an ornamented surface were found in the Görlitz fauna so far (Pl. I, figs. 6-9; Pl. II, figs. 1-8). Their preservation is not very good. Having not knowledge of the taxonomic work of Conway Morris (1990b), one of them (specimen "a", see below) was already pictured in Elicki and Schneider (1992) and Elicki (1992) as Archaeooides granulatus Qian, 1977. In view of Conway Morris’ discussion it is necessary to revise this assignment (see below). The other 5 specimens are new and first described and figured herein.

**Phylum, Class, Order, Family Uncertain**

*Genus Aethelicopalla* Conway Morris, 1990b

**Type Species**: *Aethelicopalla adnata* Conway Morris, 1990b

* Aethelicopalla adnata* Conway Morris, 1990b  
Pl. I, figs. 6-9; Pl. II

**Material**: Six specimens from a bioclastic floatstone of a shallow subtidal environment (compare Elicki, 1994, 1996).

**Stratigraphic Range and Occurrence**: Upper Ludwigsdorfer Member, Marianian ('uppermost Atdabanian-Botomian) by trilobites (Geyer and Elicki, 1995) and early shelly fossils (Elicki, 1994).

**Description and Discussion**

All specimens ("a"-"f") have a spherical to subspherical shape. Only specimen "f" (Pl. II, figs. 7, 8) is fully rounded and shows no distinct adaptation to a sessil-benthic or encrusting mode of life. The other specimens are encrusted on substrates (see specimens "a" and "b", Pl. I, figs. 6-9) or on other biota (see specimen "c", Pl. II, figs. 1, 2) or they are at least of a flattened shape (see specimens "e"-"e", Pl. II, figs. 1-6).

**Plate II**

*Aethelicopalla adnata* Conway Morris, 1990b.

1. Specimen "c". The specimen has encrusted shelly parts of another organism (trilobite?). Note also the flattened shape of the globule. Upper Ludwigsdorfer Member, Görlitz Syncline. Scale bar=0.1 mm.

2. Detail of (1). Scale bar=0.1 mm.

3. Specimen "d". This specimen is only poorly preserved. No double wall structures are visible. Note the flattened shape of the globule. Upper Ludwigsdorfer Member, Görlitz Syncline. Scale bar=0.2 mm.

4. Detail of (3) showing a poorly preserved tubule running into the interior. Scale bar=0.05 mm.

5. Specimen "e". Well visible is the flattened shape of the globule as well as the subsided upper part. Upper Ludwigsdorfer Member, Görlitz Syncline. Scale bar=0.1 mm.

6. Detail of (5). Note the regular size of the openings. Scale bar=0.02 mm.

7. Specimen "f". This specimen is well round and shows no flattened shape or encrustings. However, the assignment to *Aethelicopalla adnata* Conway Morris, 1990b is supported by the very well visible tubules which run from the openings on the outer wall into the interior. Upper Ludwigsdorfer Member, Görlitz Syncline. Scale bar=0.1 mm.

8. Detail of (7). Scale bar = 0.1 mm.
A double walled character of the globules can be observed on four specimens: “a”-“c” (Pl. I, figs. 6-9) and “f” (Pl. II, figs. 7, 8). However, the external wall is largely destroyed on all specimens (maybe an effect full of/or intensified by the wet-chemical preparation in the laboratory). Nevertheless, relics of the external wall are well visible on the globules “a” (Pl. I, figs. 6, 7) and “f” (Pl. II, figs. 7, 8), and (with a minor clearness) also on the two others. On all the specimens circular openings of internal structures are clearly visible. These structures are expressed in best distinction on the specimens “a” and “f”. On both the openings form small cones/tubules (more distinct if the external wall is absent, of course). The mean height of the cones is 40 µm-50 µm and this represents the distance between the inner and the outer wall. Specimen “f” (Pl. II, figs. 7, 8) is very good to observe that these circular structures are elongated into the interior as tubes. The detailed photograph of specimen “b” (Pl. I, figs. 8, 9) shows also these tubes in the interior of the globule, but now in a longitudinal section. It is also noticeable that the course of the tube is irregular. The exterior surface of the inner wall (space between the cones) of the globule “a” (Pl. I, figs. 6, 7) is ornamented in a reticulate pattern. This ornamentation can be assumed also for the other specimens, though visible only sometimes due to the poor preservation. All these observations support the assignment of the Görlitz globular fossils to Aetholipicollpa adnata Conway Morris, 1990b (for details see below).

Specimen “a” (Pl. I, figs. 6, 7)

1992 Archaeoolidos granulatus Qian, 1977; Elicki, pl. 12/1.
1992 Archaeoolidos granulatus Qian, 1977; Elicki and Schneider, pl. 16/8.

Total diameter: 0.85 x 0.9 mm.
Diameter of tubules: 0.025 mm.
Distance between inner and outer wall: ca. 0.04-0.05 mm (height of the tubules).

Remarks: This specimen shows all characteristics which are diagnostic for this species. It is very similar to the better preserved examples from southern Australia (Bengtson et al., 1990) and from southern France (Kerber, 1988). I agree with Conway Morris (1990b) that the French Archaeoolidos granulatus Qian, 1977 from the Montagne Noire is more likely Aetholipicollpa.

Specimen “b” (Pl. I, figs. 8, 9)

Total diameter: 1.3 x 1.5 mm.
Mean diameter of tubules: 0.025 mm.

Remarks: As specimen “a”, also this individual has all characteristics of the type species, but the preservation is not very good. Remarkable is the longitudinal section of some irregular tubes of the interior. The tubes are of a constant diameter and they run in low angles to the surface. Because the openings on the outer wall are always more or less well circular, it is most likely that these angles became larger near the external wall.

Specimen “c” (Pl. II, figs. 1, 2)

Total diameter: 1.0 mm.
Mean diameter of “openings”: 0.03 mm.

Remarks: A flattened shape and the remain of an encrusted organism (trilobite?) are the most striking features on this specimen. Because of the bad preservation the circular openings or the tubules are not well visible. But based on the other characteristics (see outlines above) specimen “c” is assigned to Aetholipicollpa adnata Conway Morris, 1990b.

Specimen “d” (Pl. II, figs. 3, 4)

Total diameter: 0.5 mm.
Mean diameter of tubules: 0.032 mm.

Remarks: Specimen “d” shows the poorest preservation of all these globules from the Görlitz fauna. No wall structures (except the circular openings) are visible. Any other ornamentation as the two-walled organisation of the globule could not be observed. The occurrence (only on single points) of tubules, directed into the interior, supports the determination as Aetholipicollpa adnata Conway Morris, 1990b.

Specimen “e” (Pl. II, figs. 5, 6)

Total diameter: 0.6 x 0.7 mm.
Mean diameter of “openings”: 0.027 mm.

Remarks: On this individual no double-wall structures and no tubules are visible. However, the circular openings on the surface are distinct. The shape of the globule is markedly flattened and one side (upper side?) is subsided.

Specimen “f” (Pl. II, figs. 7, 8)

Total diameter: 0.3 x 0.36 mm.
Mean diameter of tubules: 0.021 mm.

Remarks: Specimen “f” differs from the other globules by the well roundness. There are no indications for an attachment of the organism on a substrate or for any encrusting lifestyle. Furthermore, no double-wall structures are visible. So important criteria for Aetholipicollpa are not satisfied. This brings specimen “f” nearer to Archaeoolidos. On the other hand, specimen “f” shows clearly tubules on the external wall and elongated tubes running into the interior which is described from Aetholipicollpa. In this example the problem with Aetholipicollpa and Archaeoolidos is explained: the definition and most descriptions of Archaeoolidos are too imprecise and thus useless for emphasize. In contrast, the definition and the description of Aetholipicollpa is very
detailed. So an equivalent comparison of these two forms is not possible without a revision of the whole stock of these groups. After all, the diagnosis of the genus *Aetholycopalla* is equivalent to the definition of the only species *Aetholycopalla adnata* Conway Morris, 1990b. This is a further reason that requires a critical revision as mentioned above.

Specimen "I" shows the characteristics of both *Archaeoides* and badly preserved *Aetholycopalla*, too. Because no such internal structures are hitherto described from *Archaeoides*, specimen "I" is preliminary assigned to *Aetholycopalla adnata* Conway Morris, 1990b.

**EPILOGUE: THE UTILITY OF POST-BASAL CAMBRIAN SHELLY FAUNAS**

The knowledge on (more or less) Botoman shelly fossils is generally not very good. The biostratigraphical interest in the past was mainly focused on problems of the Precambrian-Cambrian boundary interval than on faunas of higher Lower Cambrian strata. Nevertheless, also Adtabanian fossils are sometimes better investigated in some areas (e.g. Siberian Platform). So the present state of investigations on uppermost Adtabanian-Botoman shelly faunas lays still mainly in the sampling and descriptive stage. On the other hand there are some indications that even shelly fossils can be used at least roughly for biostratigraphical divisions also in the higher Lower Cambrian (compare Brasier in Cowie and Brasier, 1989: 122-157). But a much bigger number of investigations are necessary to clarify the real biostratigraphic capability of this polyphyletic group. Nevertheless, these shelly fossils show some other interesting characteristics. When many different fossil bearing horizons are compared, it is conspicuous that the lithological characteristics are relatively often comparable. So it can be assumed that at least a part of the "shelly fossils" depends on the sedimentary facies conditions than other fossil groups. So a second way of further investigations is clearly the analysis of this facia dependence. Maybe the true value of higher Lower Cambrian small shelly fossils is on palaeoecological and phylogenetic aspects. But also in these topics much further work is needed. First steps for the Mediterranean region were and are made by Sdzuy (1969) in Spain and by Kerber (1988) in southern France, as well as by the author (Elicki, 1994) for Germany and — nowadays — for southwestern Sardinia.

The analysis of the biostratigraphic and palaeoecological utility of the faunas discussed may complete not only our conception on stratigraphy and correlations; the results may also help to clarify difficult problems on palaeogeography, on depositional history and development of faunal belts. An example for such a key-position may exist in the comparison of the Spanish and the German Lower Cambrian. The Lower Cambrian of the Iberian Chains is very similar in the lithological characteristics (and maybe in the sedimentary environments) to the sequence of the Görlitz Lower Cambrian in Germany. On the other hand, some archaeocyath-dominated sections from Spain resemble German deposits in the Doberlug region (the second area in Germany with fossiliferous Lower Cambrian strata; Fig. 1; Elicki, 1995 and 1997). But, whereas the non archaeocyath-bearing Lower Cambrian of the Iberian Chains can be correlated with the archaeocyath-bearing Spanish Lower Cambrian, such a correlation of the non archaeocyath-bearing Görlitz Lower Cambrian and archaeocyath-bearing Doberlug Lower Cambrian (ca. 100 km away) is not yet possible because of (1) the lack of archaeocyaths in Görlitz and (2) the inability to prepare Lower Cambrian trilobites from the Doberlug carbonates. So in Germany two different fossil schemes stands opposite to each other. If it should be possible to correlate the biostratigraphic data of trilobites and small shellies and further of archaeocyaths and small shellies in Spain, then it could also be possible to correlate between the different German deposits (or at least to estimate the gap between) on the base of small shellies via the Spanish Lower Cambrian.

Furthermore the investigations on the palaeoecological distribution patterns and on the tendencies in their vertical development within the similar Spanish and German regions can complete one another (and so maybe fill gaps).

Similar working strategies are also imaginable for the other Gondwanan Lower Cambrian deposits in the western Mediterranean (at present, comparing palaeoecological investigations between the Sardinian and the German small shelly faunas are in progress by the author). But the first steps must be the minute investigations and descriptions of the small shelly fossil content of the higher Lower Cambrian strata in this Mediterranean area. The beginning has been done.
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